

Climate Mafia Uses Desperate Tactics At AAAS Seminar

The American Association for the Advancement of Science held what can only be described as a Soviet-era propaganda session on Oct. 30 in Washington, D.C., where it was stressed that the science on climate is settled, and that scientists are in consensus on this. (<http://www.aaas.org/programs/centers/p/abelson/>)

The occasion was the session on "Documenting Change" at the "New Horizons in Polar Science" seminar, held in memory of long-time *Science* editor Philip Abelson.

In trying to maintain the illusion of settled science, two of the global warming mafia (James Anderson, Professor of Atmospheric Chemistry at Harvard University, and a global warming believer, and Mike McCracken, of Sir Crispin Tickell's Climate Initiative) kept one of the speakers on what seemed to be a leash, trying to control what he said in public as well as in private discussions.

Apparently, Dr. Richard Alley, Evan Pugh Professor of Geosciences at Pennsylvania State University, is too much on the fence about man-made climate change, and had to be managed by the climate mafia, to keep him in line.

For example, during Dr. Alley's talk at the AAAS meeting, when he said that the melting of Greenland would take "thousands of years," fellow speaker James Anderson would chime in like a Soviet-era political officer enforcing the party line. In this case, Anderson claimed that Alley's statement was not correct and did not take into account that man-made CO₂ would cause a faster melt.

In the informal discussions after the talks, either Anderson or McCracken were always with Alley to make sure their views were represented.

It was shocking to witness the tactics of this desperate mafia.

—Gregory Murphy

Editor's Note: More global warming news appears on the 21st Century website, www.21stcenturysciencetech.com/Global_Warming.html

The Climate Modeller



On average, his temperature is fine.

Weather Channel Founder: Global Warming Is 'Greatest Scam in History'

John Coleman, the founder of the Weather Channel, called global warming "the greatest scam in history" in an article posted on the International Climate and Environmental Change Assessment Project website (http://icecap.us/images/uploads/JC_comments.doc)

"I am amazed, appalled, and highly offended by it," Coleman wrote. "Global Warming; it is a scam. Some dastardly scientist with environmental and political motives manipulated long-term scientific data back in the late 1990s to create an illusion of rapid global warming."

Coleman continued: "However, Global Warming, i.e., Climate Change, is not environmentalism or politics. It is not a religion. It is not something you 'believe in.' It is Science; the science of meteorology. This my field of life-long expertise.

And I am telling you Global Warming is a non-event, a manufactured crisis and a total scam."

Coleman concluded: "The impact of humans on climate is not catastrophic. Our planet is not in peril. The sky is not falling and natural cycles and drifts in climate are as much if not more responsible for any climate changes under way."

Are the British Stepping Back On Climate Policy?

http://fora.tv/2007/10/28/Science_and_Politics_of_Climate_Change

At a London forum titled "Science and Politics of Climate Change," Oct. 28, there were indications that British scientists are tempering their accusations of man-made global warming. The panel, part of "The Battle of Ideas" series, featured Mike Hulme of the Tyndall Center of the University of East Anglia (home of the most rabid climate mafia); Chris Rapley, former head of the British Antarctica Survey; and Hans von Storch of the German Marine survey.

Hulme's comments were telling. He said that a 2-degree rise in global temperature would not be a real danger for him, and never used the standard phrase "man-made global warming," but referenced a more general "climate change."

Hulme also attacked the media hyperbole on global warming, and he criticized the notion of solving the climate change question by passing more Kyoto-type agreements, which, he said, have little or no effect.

Rapley seconded Hulme's comments by saying that you can not solve the climate problem with monolithic treaties and policies. Furthermore, he said, the science is still under discussion and there is no need to hype the danger since there are still big "uncertainties" about the complex climate system.

These public pronouncements continue a trend toward more rational statements on the warming issue in Britain. During the summer, Alan Thrope, chairman of the British National Environment Research Council, which is a

Continued on page 75

Global Warming Update

Continued from page 8

major funder of climate research, indicated that solar cycles have a big effect on climate—a fact denied by Al Gore and other warming maniacs. Thrope added that he thought that solar cycles have played a lesser role in the last 30 years.

Russian Scientist Defends Noösphere —From Al Gore

The vice president of Russia's National Geocryological Foundation, Sergei Golubchikov, criticized the politicians who "win Nobel prizes" with their "impassioned calls to fight global warming and shift national economies to sustainable development" in a commentary published in *Novosti Nov.* 8: <http://en.rian.ru/analysis/20071108/87175828.html>

Golubchikov, an environmental expert, warned against "panic" about climate change, and raised Vernadsky's concept of the "noösphere" in considering environmental issues. He wrote: "Anxiety over climate change is carried too far, to my mind.... Humanity is focusing environmental efforts on the bogeyman of global warming. Why not shift the emphasis to protecting the oxygen-producing environment?"

Greater concentrations of CO₂ would actually be positive, he wrote, helping plant life to flourish, while the real problems are serious pollutants like sulfur dioxide and other toxic substances, which are polluting vital regions of the Earth.

"Nothing deserves closer attention from scientists and political leaders than the ocean, the Arctic and Siberia," Golubchikov wrote. "Politicians and experts win Nobel prizes with impassioned calls to fight global warming and shift national economies to sustainable development. To be honest, promises of a radiant noöspheric future sound baffling to me, for there are no objective criteria to the noösphere [the Earth as altered by the human mind, the third stage of development after the geosphere and the biosphere]. It cannot be measured, weighed or otherwise evaluated, and there is no way to establish its borders in time and space. But please don't think I shrug off the doc-

trine of the noösphere. On the contrary, I have the utmost respect for it, just as for those who stood at its cradle—brilliant Russian scientists Vladimir Vernadsky and Nikita Moiseyev."

New NASA Study Gores Climate Scare

A team of NASA and university scientists has detected an ongoing reversal in the Arctic Ocean circulation triggered by atmospheric circulation changes that vary on decade-long time scales. The results of this new study suggest that not all of the large changes seen in Arctic climate in recent years are a result of long-term trends associated with global warming www.jpl.nasa.gov/news/news.cfm?release=2007-131.

Led by James Morison of the University of Washington's Polar Science Center Applied Physics Laboratory, the study used data from an Earth-observing satellite and deep sea pressure gauges to monitor Arctic Ocean circulation from 2002 to 2006. "The team measured changes in the weight of columns of Arctic Ocean water, from the surface to the ocean bottom. That weight is influenced by factors such as the height of the ocean's surface, and its salinity. A saltier ocean is heavier and circulates differently than one with less salt."

Changes in the sea surface pressure and salinity cause the Arctic Oscillation to switch from a cooling trend to a warming trend. It is of note that when the Arctic Oscillation is in warming mode, the winters in the southeastern United States are warmer, like last year's winter.

Morison said that the new data indicate that the winter of 2006-2007 was a high Arctic Oscillation (Warming mode) year which produced the conditions that led to this year's record Arctic sea ice melt. This decadal-scale change in the Arctic Oscillation is one of the main factors that determine sea ice melt—not Al Gore's man-made CO₂ hoax.

Freeman Dyson Book Challenges Global Warming 'Fluff'

The latest book by Freeman Dyson, Professor Emeritus of Physics at the Institute for Advanced Study in Princeton

(*Many Colored Glass: Reflections on the Place of Life in the Universe*, University of Virginia Press) affirms his pride in being a heretic and challenges the "fluff" of global warming.

Dyson included similar remarks in a commencement address at the University of Michigan in 2005 <http://www.umich.edu/news/index.html?DysonWinCom05>.

"The first of my heresies says that all the fluff about global warming is grossly exaggerated. Here I am opposing the holy brotherhood of climate model experts and the crowd of deluded citizens that believe the numbers predicted by their models. Of course they say I have no degree in meteorology and I am therefore not qualified to speak.

"But I have studied their climate models and know what they can do. The models solve the equations of fluid dynamics and do a very good job of describing the fluid motions of the atmosphere and the oceans. They do a very poor job of describing the clouds, the dust, the chemistry and the biology of fields and farms and forests. They do not begin to describe the real world that we live in.

"The real world is muddy and messy and full of things that we do not yet understand. It is much easier for a scientist to sit in an air-conditioned building and run computer models than to put on winter clothes and measure what is really happening outside in the swamps and the clouds. That's why the climate model experts end up believing their own models.

"There's no doubt that parts of the world are getting warmer, but the warming is not global. The warming happens mostly in places and times where it is cold, in the arctic more than the tropics, in the winter more than the summer, at night more than the daytime.

"I'm not saying the warming doesn't cause problems. Obviously it does. Obviously we should be trying to understand it. I'm saying that the problems are being grossly exaggerated. They take away money and attention from other problems that are much more urgent and more important—poverty, infectious diseases, public education and public health. Not to mention the preservation of living creatures on land and in the oceans."